

Haliza Layla

Regulatory Problematics of Restorative Justice in Addressing Sexual Violence Offenses within Indonesia's Criminal Justice ...

 9.2

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::3618:142840165

Submission Date

Jun 13, 2026, 2:49 PM GMT+7

Download Date

Jun 13, 2026, 2:59 PM GMT+7

File Name

Publish.docx

File Size

2.6 MB

24 Pages

8,010 Words

51,863 Characters

16% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text
- Small Matches (less than 8 words)

Match Groups

-  **131 Not Cited or Quoted 14%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **12 Missing Quotations 1%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 13%  Internet sources
- 12%  Publications
- 12%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- 131 Not Cited or Quoted 14%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 12 Missing Quotations 1%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 13% Internet sources
- 12% Publications
- 12% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Student papers	Universitas Islam Malang on 2026-03-08	<1%
2	Internet	journal.unnes.ac.id	<1%
3	Internet	jurnal.penerbitsign.com	<1%
4	Student papers	Universitas Islam Malang on 2023-07-05	<1%
5	Internet	ejournal.uin-malang.ac.id	<1%
6	Publication	Hadi Purnomo, Widhi Handoko, Murad Altwaiqat. "Reframing Medical Malpr...	<1%
7	Student papers	CSU, Chico on 2026-05-07	<1%
8	Internet	ejournal4.unud.ac.id	<1%
9	Internet	ijsshr.in	<1%
10	Publication	Salwa Salsabilla, Imran Bukhari Razif, Ulil Albab. "Legal Protection Against Sexual...	<1%

11	Internet	journal.unsika.ac.id	<1%
12	Publication	Nurul Putri Awaliah Nasution, Fathul Hamdani, Ana Fauzia. "The Concept of Rest...	<1%
13	Internet	journals.usm.ac.id	<1%
14	Internet	jurnal.unissula.ac.id	<1%
15	Internet	ejournal.grafindoscience.com	<1%
16	Publication	Syaifullahil Maslul. "From Judicial Restraint to Judicial Activism: A Comparison of ...	<1%
17	Student papers	University of Melbourne on 2025-06-01	<1%
18	Internet	jos.unsoed.ac.id	<1%
19	Student papers	UPA Perpustakaan UNTIRTA on 2026-06-02	<1%
20	Student papers	Universitas Islam Malang on 2025-12-23	<1%
21	Internet	dinastires.org	<1%
22	Publication	Achmad Sulchan, Aji Sudarmaji, Arlin Aditya Meidiana Putra. "Conflict of Norms a...	<1%
23	Student papers	Fakultas Hukum on 2025-08-18	<1%
24	Publication	Neisa Angrum Adisti, Alfiyan Mardiansyah, Isma Nurillah, Desia Rakhma Banjara...	<1%

25	Publication	Paskalis Bisma Suarlembit, Cahaya Wulandari. "THE APPOINTMENT OF JUDGES IN...	<1%
26	Student papers	University of Oxford on 2023-12-15	<1%
27	Internet	ojs.ahss.org.pk	<1%
28	Student papers	Universitas Negeri Surabaya The State University of Surabaya on 2026-06-01	<1%
29	Student papers	University of New South Wales on 2024-08-02	<1%
30	Student papers	Tarumanagara University on 2025-09-29	<1%
31	Student papers	Universiti Utara Malaysia on 2022-12-20	<1%
32	Internet	asean.org	<1%
33	Internet	scholar.archive.org	<1%
34	Publication	Oci Senjaya, Indra Yudha Koswara. "Criminal Law Reform: Restorative Justice and...	<1%
35	Student papers	Universitas Islam Malang on 2023-03-12	<1%
36	Internet	cahaya-ic.com	<1%
37	Internet	ejournal.undip.ac.id	<1%
38	Student papers	Universitas Muhammadiyah Jember on 2026-02-09	<1%

39	Internet	ejurnal.ung.ac.id	<1%
40	Internet	e-journal.trisakti.ac.id	<1%
41	Internet	international.appihi.or.id	<1%
42	Student papers	University of Melbourne on 2023-06-12	<1%
43	Internet	eprints.glos.ac.uk	<1%
44	Student papers	Sim University on 2026-02-20	<1%
45	Internet	e-journal.metrouniv.ac.id	<1%
46	Internet	ejournal.um-sorong.ac.id	<1%
47	Internet	gemaperencana.id	<1%
48	Internet	riset.unisma.ac.id	<1%
49	Publication	Fida Afiouni, Yasmeen Makarem, Chloé Mechleb, Hussein Darwish. "The Interplay...	<1%
50	Publication	Kurdi Kurdi, Joko Cahyono, Cut Zulfahnur Syafitri. "Potential Violations of the Rig...	<1%
51	Publication	Muhammad Rahjay Pelengkahu, Indirwan Indirwan. "Formulation of the Applicat...	<1%
52	Publication	Ruby Nur Adila, Ade Juniara, Vensky Ghaniyyu Putri Permana. "The Authority of t...	<1%

53	Student papers	Universitas Islam Malang on 2023-06-20	<1%
54	Student papers	Universitas Negeri Surabaya The State University of Surabaya on 2026-04-20	<1%
55	Student papers	University of South Africa on 2026-03-22	<1%
56	Internet	ejournal.radenintan.ac.id	<1%
57	Student papers	learn.uq.edu.au on 2026-05-27	<1%
58	Publication	Anang Shophan Tornado, Soffyan Angga Fahlani, Nur Khalis Alfath, Nur Aida, No...	<1%
59	Publication	Fuadi Isnawan. "Quid Pro Quo Sexual Harassment Involving an Employee Throug...	<1%
60	Publication	Hafiz Praselia Akbar. "Comparative Study of Restorative Justice Application: Evalu...	<1%
61	Student papers	Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto on 2023-06-27	<1%
62	Student papers	University of Aberdeen on 2023-05-22	<1%
63	Publication	Vivi Ariyanti. "Legal protection for victims of sexual violence in Indonesia in the p...	<1%
64	Publication	Yufiyandini Adiningsih, Gialdah Tapiansari Batubara. "The Paradox of Implementi...	<1%
65	Internet	dev-journal.iainlangsa.ac.id	<1%
66	Internet	ejournal.iainkendari.ac.id	<1%

67	Internet	ijcjs.com	<1%
68	Student papers	Adtalem Global Education on 2025-01-31	<1%
69	Publication	Daffa Ladro Kusworo, Maghfira Nur Khaliza Fauzi. "Hybrid Restorative Justice: Op...	<1%
70	Publication	Fauzy Marasabessy, Bambang Sugiri, Heru Ratnohadi. "UNEQUAL RESTORATIVE J...	<1%
71	Publication	Fibriyanti Karim, Winda Anggriyani Uno. "Legal Protection and Human Rights for ...	<1%
72	Publication	Fuadi Isnawan. "Analysis of the Classification of Crime of Sexual Intercourse with ...	<1%
73	Student papers	Georgia Gwinnett College on 2023-06-22	<1%
74	Publication	Karina Permata, Serla Yolanda Azahra, Asmak UI Hosnah. "The Conflict between t...	<1%
75	Publication	Marzuki, Mohammad Khushnan. "Rekonstruksi Regulasi Penegakan Hukum Bagi ...	<1%
76	Publication	Median Suwardi. "Law Enforcement towards Arrest by Hand Operation in the Pro...	<1%
77	Student papers	Universitas Jenderal Soedirman on 2023-07-30	<1%
78	Publication	Yeni Nuraeni, L. Alfies Sihombing, Komarudin Komarudin. "Restorative Justice as...	<1%
79	Internet	cdn.juris.id	<1%
80	Internet	dinamikahukum.fh.unsoed.ac.id	<1%

81	Internet	ejournal.uin-suka.ac.id	<1%
82	Internet	ejournal.uinsaizu.ac.id	<1%
83	Internet	generatedp.com	<1%
84	Internet	innovasciencesbusiness.org	<1%
85	Internet	jlp.puslitbang.polri.go.id	<1%
86	Internet	journal.umpo.ac.id	<1%
87	Internet	journal.umpr.ac.id	<1%
88	Internet	jurnal.pustari.com	<1%
89	Internet	jurnalnasional.ump.ac.id	<1%
90	Internet	kslreview.org	<1%
91	Internet	legislature.vermont.gov	<1%
92	Internet	ojs.ukb.ac.id	<1%
93	Internet	www.ojs.unr.ac.id	<1%
94	Internet	www.padovauniversitypress.it	<1%

YURISPRUDEN

Jurnal Fakultas Hukum Universitas Islam Malang

Volume 9, Nomor 2, June 2026.



Editorial Office : Faculty of Law, Universitas Islam Malang,
Jl. Mayjen Haryono No.193, Dinoyo, Kec. Lowokwaru, Malang,
Provinsi Jawa Timur, 65144.
Phone : (0341) 551 932 / 551 822 / (0341) 552 249
E-mail : yurispruden@unisma.ac.id
Website : <http://riset.unisma.ac.id/index.php/yur/index>

Regulatory Problematics of Restorative Justice in Addressing Sexual Violence Offenses within Indonesia's Criminal Justice System

Haliza Layla Tsabita, Apriliani Kusumawati

Law Study Program Faculty of Law Universitas Negeri Surabaya

Ketintang Street, Ketintang, Gayungan District, Surabaya City, East Java, Indonesia, 60231,
(031) 99421834

Email: haliza.23393@mhs.unesa.ac.id.

Article

Article History

Received: Dec 11, 2025;

Reviewed: Dec 22, 2025;
Jan 2, 2026

Accepted: Apr 18, 2026;

Published: Jun 13, 2026:

DOI:

10.33474/yur.v9i2.24699

Abstrak

Disharmonisasi regulasi menjadi hambatan dalam penerapan restorative justice di Indonesia, khususnya dalam penanganan tindak pidana kekerasan seksual. Permasalahan ini muncul karena Pasal 5 Peraturan Kepolisian Nomor 8 Tahun 2021 tidak mengecualikan tindak pidana kekerasan seksual dari mekanisme restorative justice, sementara Pasal 21 Undang-Undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2022 secara tegas melarang penyelesaian perkara di luar proses peradilan. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis batasan normatif penerapan restorative justice dalam perkara kekerasan seksual serta mengidentifikasi implikasi disharmonisasi regulasi terhadap kepastian hukum. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode yuridis normatif dengan pendekatan perundang-undangan, konseptual, dan kasus. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa disharmonisasi tersebut memicu multitafsir, melemahkan kepastian hukum, dan mengganggu koherensi penerapan restorative justice dalam sistem peradilan pidana, oleh karena itu, diperlukan harmonisasi regulasi melalui pembentukan kerangka hukum yang terintegrasi dan berorientasi pada perlindungan korban.

Kata Kunci: Restorative Justice; Kekerasan Seksual; Disharmonisasi

Abstract

Regulatory inconsistencies pose a barrier to the implementation of restorative justice in Indonesia, particularly in the handling of sexual violence cases. This issue arises because Article 5 of Police Regulation No. 8/2021 does not exclude sexual violence crimes from the restorative justice mechanism, while Article 21 of Law No. 12/2022 explicitly prohibits the resolution of cases outside the judicial process. This study aims to analyze the normative limitations of applying restorative justice in sexual violence cases

Haliza Layla Tsabita and Apriliani Kusumawati, 'Regulatory Problematics of Restorative Justice in Addressing Sexual Violence Offenses within Indonesia's Criminal Justice System', *Yurispruden: Jurnal Fakultas Hukum Universitas Islam Malang* 9, no. 2 (2026): 1-24, <https://doi.org/10.33474/yur.v9i2.24699>.

and to identify the implications of regulatory disharmony on legal certainty. This study employs a normative legal methodology, drawing on legislative, conceptual, and case-based approaches. The results of the study indicate that this regulatory disharmony leads to multiple interpretations, weakens legal certainty, and disrupts the coherence of restorative justice implementation within the criminal justice system; therefore, regulatory harmonization is necessary through the establishment of an integrated legal framework oriented toward victim protection.

Keywords: Restorative Justice; Sexual Violence; Disharmonization

INTRODUCTION

The retributive orientation of the responsibility but also ensures that victims conventional criminal justice system has been receive substantial restoration.³ However, widely criticized for its lack of accountability although restorative justice offers a restorative and human rights protections,¹ a normative framework that places the victim at the centre, concern that resonates with the constitutional it cannot be applied universally to all forms of guarantee under Article 28G of the 1945 criminal acts, as affirmed by CEDAW through Constitution, which safeguards the protection General Recommendation Number 35 on of the person, family, honour, dignity, Gender-Based Violence against Women, property, and sense of security, including section on Prosecution and Punishment (para. protection from threats and degrading 32(b)), which states that no form of violence treatment.² According to John Braithwaite in against women may be mandatorily referred to Restorative Justice and Responsive alternative dispute resolution procedures, Regulation, restorative processes are including mediation and conciliation. meaningful only when the needs, voices, and Previous studies related to this research definitions of restoration provided by the have primarily examined the application of victims themselves serve as the primary focus; restorative justice within the criminal justice thus, restorative justice not only provides system in general. The study by Mochamad offenders with an opportunity to take Sukedi and I Nengah Nuarta affirms that

¹ Abdurrahman Alhakim et al., ‘Revitalizing Justice: Empowering Juvenile Sexual Offenders through a Restorative Approach in Indonesia’, *Journal of Judicial Review* 25, no. 1 (2023): 18, <https://doi.org/10.37253/jjr.v25i1.7537>.

² Silvia Novitasari et al., ‘Pembaharuan Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Perempuan Korban Kekerasan Seksual Dalam Undang – Undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2022’, *Mavisha: Law and Society Journal*, November 2024, 31, <https://doi.org/10.15408/7h9p1y62>.

³ Inbal Peleg-Koriat, ‘Ethnic Differences in Support for Restorative Justice in Sexual Abuse Cases: The Role of Offense Severity and Malleability Beliefs’, *Violence Against Women*, 9 June 2025, 3, <https://doi.org/10.1177/10778012251347588>.

restorative justice is rooted in the values of of Law Number 12 of 2022 and its deliberation and Indonesian customary legal consequences for the protection of victims' traditions, while the research conducted by right to reparation, thereby offering a novel Zahratul'ain Taufik and Atika Zahra Nirmala contribution that has not been addressed by demonstrates the effectiveness of previous research.

implementing restorative justice in minor The urgency of this study is heightened criminal cases at the East Lombok District in light of findings from prior empirical

Prosecutor's Office, in which the restoration of research conducted within the jurisdiction of relations between the perpetrator and the the Ngoro Sectoral Police, Jombang Regency, victim remains possible. Conversely, the during the period of 2023–2024, which findings of Azis Saputra, Dadang Sutrasno, recorded a number of cases of domestic and Widi Setiawan reveal a high level of violence and sexual violence resolved through understanding among police officers regarding restorative justice mechanisms. Referring to

Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021 these empirical findings, in 2023 there were 41 (hereinafter referred to as Police Regulation cases of domestic violence (15 of which were Number 8 of 2021), indicating that the resolved through restorative justice) and 6 regulation is closely aligned with police cases of sexual violence (5 of which were enforcement practice. Nevertheless, these resolved through restorative justice). three studies have not examined the juridical Meanwhile, in 2024 there were 25 cases of boundaries and the problems surrounding the domestic violence (5 of which were resolved

application of restorative justice in cases of through restorative justice) and 5 cases of sexual violence a category of criminal offense sexual violence (3 of which were resolved that is normatively excluded from non- through restorative justice).⁴ These facts litigation settlement under Law Number 12 of demonstrate the existence of a 2022 (hereinafter referred to as Law Number misinterpretation or expansion of the 12 of 2022)—nor have they analysed its application of restorative justice to encompass implications for substantive justice for victims. serious criminal offenses such as sexual Accordingly, this study seeks to fill that gap by violence, which fundamentally carries the risk providing an analysis of the regulatory of disregarding victims' suffering and disharmony between Article 5 of Police generating the potential for revictimization.⁵ Regulation Number 8 of 2021 and Article 23

⁴ Nurul Aslamiah, 'Penerapan Restorative Justice Terhadap Kasus Kekerasan Seksual Di Desa', *El-Dusturie* 4, no. 1 (2025): 92, <https://doi.org/10.21154/eldusturie.v4i1.11038>.

⁵ Yuni Putri Dewantara and Ika Fransisca, 'Restorative Justice Dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Pelecehan Seksual Cyber', *Jurnal Hukum Mimbar Justitia* 10, no. 2 (2024): 226, <https://doi.org/10.35194/jhmj.v10i2.4679>.

This study focuses on the analysis of approach to deepen the understanding regulatory disharmonization concerning the underlying the limitation of the application of application of restorative justice in the restorative justice to sexual violence offenses, handling of sexual violence offenses between and a case-based approach to examine the Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021 and Law practical implementation of restorative justice Number 12 of 2022. The central issue within society. The analysis of legal materials examined is the absence of an explicit is conducted through two principal techniques, exclusion of sexual violence offenses in namely descriptive and analytical analysis of Article 5 of Police Regulation Number 8 of primary, secondary, and tertiary legal 2021, which creates interpretative ambiguity materials. The primary legal materials consist and potentially conflicts with the prohibition of of Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021, Law case settlement outside the judicial process as Number 12 of 2022, Supreme Court stipulated in Article 23 of Law Number 12 of Regulation Number 1 of 2024, and Regulation 2022. The study further examines the of the Attorney General of the Republic of implications of such disharmonization for the Indonesia Number 15 of 2020. The secondary guarantee of substantive justice for victims, legal materials include scholarly articles particularly in ensuring comprehensive published in accredited law journals and reparation, assistance, and the fulfilment of literature addressing restorative justice and the victims' rights as mandated by Law Number protection of victims of sexual violence. 12 of 2022. Accordingly, this research Meanwhile, the tertiary legal materials normatively evaluates the limits of the encompass international conventions that application of restorative justice in cases of frequently serve as normative foundations for sexual violence and assesses whether the non- the formulation of legislation in Indonesia.

penal restorative justice-based policy This study aims to identify the existence regulated under Police Regulation Number 8 of regulatory disharmonization that has the of 2021 is consistent with, or instead potential to result in an expansion of the contradicts, the imperative mandate of victim application of restorative justice to sexual protection under Law Number 12 of 2022. violence offenses and to analyse the normative

This study constitutes normative limitations governing the application of juridical research employing several restorative justice mechanisms in the handling approaches, including a statutory approach to of sexual violence offenses within the examine the regulatory disharmonization Indonesian criminal justice system by between Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021 examining the conformity and consistency of and Law Number 12 of 2022, a conceptual the regulatory framework between Police

Regulation Number 8 of 2021 and Law No. 12 through the active involvement of both the community and law enforcement authorities. This study further aims to specifically assess whether the application of restorative justice in cases of sexual violence is aligned with the principle of substantive justice for victims, particularly in the fulfilment of the rights to protection, reparation, and guarantees of freedom from revictimization. Accordingly, this study is expected to develop a normative analytical examination concerning the limits of legitimacy of non-penal restorative justice-based policies in the handling of sexual violence offenses, as well as to formulate legal arguments affirming the importance of victim protection as the primary orientation in the regulation and enforcement of law in Indonesia.

The United Nations Basic Principles on the Use of Restorative Justice Programmes in Criminal Matters likewise define restorative justice as a complementary mechanism, rather than as a substitute for the existing criminal justice system. The principles further recognize that restorative justice may be implemented at any stage of the criminal justice process within national legal systems. Accordingly, its application is not confined to the pre-prosecution (pre-charge) stage, such as diversion, but may extend to the prosecution (post-charge) stage, adjudication, and the execution of sentences, including as an alternative to imprisonment. Nevertheless, within the Indonesian criminal justice system,

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Regulatory Disharmonization of Restorative Justice under Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021 and Law Number 12 of 2022

of the most decisive locus of restorative justice practice lies at the pre-prosecution stage, namely the investigation phase, where the discretionary authority of law enforcement

The restoration of harm and social relationships damaged by crime constitutes the essence of restorative justice, rather than merely the imposition of punishment upon the offender.⁶ Restorative justice operates as a complementary mechanism to the formal legal system, as it seeks to generate a more effective, participatory, and humane form of justice

officials significantly determines the trajectory of case handling. This discretionary authority, as regulated under Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021, positions the investigation stage as the principal locus for the application of restorative justice, including in cases of sexual violence offenses.

The principal principles underlying restorative justice include: (1) the full

⁶ Nur Rochaeti et al., 'A Restorative Justice System in Indonesia: A Close View from the Indigenous Peoples' Practices', *Sriwijaya Law Review*, 27 January 2023, 89–90, <https://doi.org/10.28946/slrev.Vol7.Iss1.1919.pp87-104>.

participation of all relevant stakeholders, for its application.¹¹ Article 1 point (6) of Law including the victim, the offender, and their Number 11 of 2012 defines restorative justice respective families and communities;⁷ (2) a as a mechanism for resolving criminal cases contextualized understanding of the offense through the involvement of the perpetrator, the within its broader social framework;⁸ (3) a victim, their respective families, and other forward-oriented approach emphasizing relevant stakeholders in order to collectively preventive and solution-based outcomes; and reach a fair resolution, with an emphasis on (4) procedural flexibility that prioritizes restoring the original condition rather than creativity in the resolution process. pursuing retributive punishment.¹² This statute Historically, the creative orientation of represents a progressive shift in Indonesia's restorative justice can be traced to Albert juvenile penal policy, shifting from a Eglash, who in the 1950s conceptualized retributive framework toward a restorative restorative justice as an alternative model of model grounded in human dignity, creative sentencing.⁹ participatory resolution, and the primacy of

The restorative paradigm was recovery. progressively adopted into the Indonesian In the same year, a Joint Decree of criminal justice system and has since Understanding was formalized between the developed in a structurally significant Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, the manner.¹⁰ Within the national legal framework, Minister of Law and Human Rights, the normative recognition of restorative justice is Attorney General, and the Chief of the explicitly articulated in Article 1 point 6 of Indonesian National Police concerning the Law Number 11 of 2012 concerning the Adjustment of the Threshold for Minor Juvenile Criminal Justice System, which Offenses and Fines, the Expedited constitutes the earliest formal statutory basis Examination Procedure, and the

⁷ Angki Sukma, *Penerapan Keadilan Restoratif Pada Tindak Pidana yang Tidak Memenuhi Syarat Diversi di Indonesia*, 1, no. 8 (2024): 66, <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.10845080>.

⁸ Ni Kadek Putri Sita Rahayu and Gunardi Lie, 'Tinjauan Filosofis Dan Yuridis Terhadap Keadilan Restoratif Dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana Anak', *Jurnal Yustitia* 21, no. 2 (2025): 32, <https://doi.org/10.62279/yustitia.v21i2.1675>.

⁹ Albert Eglash, 'Creative Restitution. A Broader Meaning for an Old Term', *The Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology, and Police Science* 48, no. 6 (1958): 620, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1140258>.

¹⁰ Cecilia Rudolf Valentino, 'Restorative Justice as an Alternative in the Indonesian Criminal Justice System', *Journal of Progressive Law and Legal Studies* 3, no. 03 (2025): 350, <https://doi.org/10.59653/jpills.v3i03.1864>.

¹¹ Geney Srikusuma Dewi, 'Penetapan Pengadilan Sebagai Muara Dalam Penyelesaian Perkara Pidana Di Luar Pengadilan Yang Menggunakan Pendekatan Restorative Justice', *Jurnal Yustitia* 17, no. 01 (2023): 57–58, <https://doi.org/10.62279/yustitia.v17i01.1047>.

¹² Safira Gustika Maharian, 'Restorative Justice in the Reform of the Indonesian Criminal System (Case Study of Violence Against Children in the Selebar Police Sector Area, Bengkulu City)', *Journal of Law, Politic and Humanities* 4, no. 5 (2024): 1870, <https://doi.org/10.38035/jlph.v4i5.504>.

Implementation of Restorative Justice, signed on 17 October 2012. This inter-institutional instrument expressly incorporates restorative justice within the procedural handling of minor criminal offenses, as reflected in Article 1 point 2 of Joint Decree Number 131/KMA/SKB/X/2012, which normatively defines restorative justice as a case-resolution mechanism conducted at the investigative stage or at the initial phase of trial proceedings, involving the offender, the victim, their respective families, and relevant community representatives, with the objective of achieving a fair settlement predicated upon restoration to the status quo ante.

Furthermore, the development of

The institutionalization of this Joint Decree constitutes a significant structural development in the construction of a 2019 coordinated enforcement framework among the police, the prosecution service, and the judiciary. It represents the earliest formal articulation of inter-agency harmonization for the implementation of restorative justice beyond the juvenile justice regime. Through this coordinated regulatory architecture, restorative justice acquired strengthened institutional legitimacy, thereby consolidating its position within the broader trajectory of Indonesian criminal justice reform.

restorative justice was marked by the issuance of Chief of Police Regulation Number 6 of 2019 concerning Criminal Investigation, issued on 4 October 2019. This regulation constitutes a more formal normative consolidation of restorative justice within the procedural framework of criminal investigation. Restorative justice is expressly defined in Article 1 point 27 of the Regulation as the settlement of criminal cases involving the offender, the victim and/or their families, as well as other relevant parties, with the objective of achieving justice for all parties concerned.

Building upon the Joint Decree, the Indonesian National Police strengthened the normative foundation for the implementation of restorative justice principles at the investigative stage. Through this Chief of Police Circular Letter Number SE/8/VII/2018, the Indonesian National

Police not only formally acknowledged the through reconciliation, with an emphasis on existence of case-resolution mechanisms restoring the situation to the status quo ante. oriented toward restoration but also provided a This regulation essentially affirms the clear normative basis for their implementation recognition of alternative case-resolution within law enforcement practice. With such procedures outside the criminal justice process legal grounding, investigators are vested with through restorative justice mechanisms at the the authority and legitimacy to resolve cases investigative stage. However, conceptual through restorative justice mechanisms. This inconsistencies exist, particularly in the integration further reinforces substantive substantive differences between Chief of justice by promoting settlements that are Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021 and Chief equitable, proportionate, and oriented toward of Police Circular Letter Number the restoration of social relationships among SE/8/VII/2018. While Police Regulation the parties involved. Number 8 of 2021, as a refinement of Circular

Subsequent to Article 12 of Chief of Letter SE/8/VII/2018, tends to prioritize Police Regulation Number 6 of 2019, which reconciliation as the primary outcome of expanded the application of restorative justice restorative justice implementation, the at the investigative stage, the Indonesian Circular Letter explicitly asserts that National Police further updated its legal restorative justice should not be interpreted framework through Chief of Police Regulation merely as a mechanism for amicable case Number 8 of 2021 concerning the Handling of closure. These regulatory inconsistencies Criminal Offenses Based on Restorative indicate that the application of restorative Justice (Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021), justice in Indonesia continues to face issued on 19 August 2021.¹³ This regulation conceptual challenges, particularly in defines restorative justice in Article 1 point 3, balancing “case resolution” with “restoration stating that it constitutes the settlement of of social relationships”.

criminal offenses through the involvement of Consistent with the principle of the offender, the victim, their respective protection, Law Number 12 of 2022 families, community leaders, religious leaders, establishes the victim as the primary subject, customary authorities, or other relevant with rights to case management, protection, stakeholders, jointly seeking a fair resolution and restoration that must be strictly guaranteed and fulfilled by the State. Accordingly, any

¹³ Dewa Putu Hendra Widiatmika, ‘Penerapan Perpol Nomor 8 Tahun 2021 Tentang Penanganan Tindak Pidana Berdasarkan Keadilan Restoratif Di Direktorat Reserse Kriminal Umum Polda Bali’, *IJOLARES: Indonesian Journal of Law Research* 1, no. 1 (2023): 2, <https://doi.org/10.60153/ijolares.v1i1.1>.

mechanism for case resolution must not the Republic of Indonesia, and the Regulation compromise these standards of management, of the Indonesian National Police. protection, and restoration. In this regard, the Nevertheless, stringent limitations are imposed orientation of Police Regulation Number 8 of on the scope of restorative justice, particularly 2021, which appears to prioritize the under the Decree of the Director General of the reconciliation process as a measure of General Courts of the Supreme Court of the restorative justice effectiveness, raises critical Republic of Indonesia Number questions concerning the conformity of such 1691/DJU/SK/PS.00/12/2020. The Decree an approach with the more stringent protection expressly provides that criminal cases eligible mandate codified in Law Number 12 of 2022. for resolution through restorative justice are This regulatory discrepancy highlights the confined to minor offenses as stipulated under significance of a thorough analysis of the Articles 364, 373, 379, 384, 407, and 482 of disharmony between these instruments, the Criminal Code, provided that the material particularly in defining the normative loss does not exceed IDR 2,500,000. boundaries for the application of restorative Accordingly, the scope of criminal cases justice to sexual violence cases. Moreover, it eligible for resolution through restorative provides a substantial analytical framework to justice is explicitly restricted to minor assess whether the expanded interpretation of offenses.

restorative justice within Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021 coheres with the imperative A similar limitation is articulated in the Regulation of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia Number 1 of 2024 of victim protection codified in Law Number concerning Guidelines for Adjudicating 12 of 2022.

Normative Boundaries of Restorative Criminal Cases Based on Restorative Justice, Justice under Law Number 22 of 2022 on particularly Article 6 Section (1) point a, which Sexual Violence Offenses provides that restorative justice may only be

The application of restorative justice applied where the offense constitutes a minor within the Indonesian criminal justice system crime or where the victim's loss does not is normatively conceived as a mechanism to exceed IDR 2,500,000 (two million five recalibrate the relationship between victims, hundred thousand rupiah) or the applicable offenders, and society, as reflected in various provincial minimum wage. This provision sectoral regulatory instruments, including the further reinforces the normative position that Prosecutor's Regulation of the Republic of restorative justice is designed for criminal Indonesia, the Decree of the Director General offenses of limited severity and relatively low of the General Courts of the Supreme Court of social impact. In this regulatory context, the

application of restorative justice to sexual framework that explicitly regulates the violence offenses classified as extraordinary boundaries of restorative justice in relation to crimes under Law Number 12 of 2022 would sexual violence offenses. In this context, Law extends beyond the restrictive parameters Number 12 of 2022 represents a more consistently established by the Supreme Court definitive orientation in criminal policy. through these regulatory instruments. Article 23 of Law Number 12 of 2022

The consistency of these limitations is expressly prohibits the resolution of sexual further reflected in Article 5 Section (3) of the violence offenses through mechanisms of Prosecutor's Regulation of the Republic of settlement outside the formal criminal justice Indonesia Number 15 of 2020 (hereinafter process.

referred to as Prosecutor's Regulation Number The norm expressly establishes that all 15 of 2020) on the Termination of Prosecution forms of resolution outside the formal judicial Based on Restorative Justice, which provides process, including restorative justice that, for criminal offenses committed against a mechanisms, are excluded as a matter of law person, bodily integrity, life, or personal from the adjudication of sexual violence liberty, the requirement set forth in Section (1) offenses, irrespective of any agreement point c may be exempted. This provision between the perpetrator and the victim. This indicates that cases involving violations of restriction is predicated upon juridical fundamental rights, particularly those affecting considerations as well as victimological physical integrity or individual freedom, fall analysis, which acknowledge that the outside the ordinary parameters of restorative application of restorative justice in cases of justice. Accordingly, both prosecutorial sexual violence entails a substantial risk of regulations and Supreme Court policies retraumatization and secondary consistently affirm that the application of victimization.¹⁴ Within this framework, the restorative justice is subject to substantive prohibition serves as a structural safeguard that limitations and cannot be extended universally preserves the integrity of the recovery-oriented to all categories of criminal offenses. principle and upholds the victim's dignity,

The limitations on the application of security, and fundamental rights, particularly restorative justice under Prosecutor's in contexts marked by asymmetrical power Regulation Number 15 of 2020 reflect the relations.¹⁵ legislative necessity of establishing a statutory

¹⁴ Dewantara and Fransisca, 'Restorative Justice Dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Pelecehan Seksual Cyber', 226.

¹⁵ Widya Ari Dewi et al., 'Legal Protection for Victims in The Resolution of Criminal Cases Through Restorative Justice Mechanisms', *Jurnal Daulat Hukum* 8, no. 4 (2026): 1071, <https://doi.org/10.30659/jdh.v8i4.50835>.

The Normative Construction of the Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021 within Investigator's Authority within Restorative the listed exclusions.

Justice Mechanisms

This condition generates regulatory

Law Number 12 of 2022 signifies a inconsistency, particularly when examined normative reorientation within Indonesian through the principle of *lex posterior derogat* criminal law policy through the *legi priori*, which mandates the application of institutionalization of a victim-centred the more recent legal norm insofar as it paradigm. This approach is premised upon the regulates the same material.¹⁷ Accordingly, the recognition that sexual violence generates provision of Police Regulation Number 8 of profound physical, psychological, and social 2021 that permits the application of restorative harm, such that its resolution cannot be justice cannot be sustained to the extent that it reduced to the restoration of relations between contradicts the explicit prohibition contained perpetrator and victim, but instead requires in Article 23 of Law Number 12 of 2022 as the strong institutional capacity and community later-enacted regulation.

support to ensure its effective Within law enforcement practice, Police implementation.¹⁶ Regulation Number 8 of 2021 functions as the

In this context, the explicit prohibition primary operational reference for articulated in Article 23 of Law Number 12 of investigators, while institutionally positioning 2022 gives rise to regulatory disharmony with police officers to participate in restorative Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021, processes as community representatives.¹⁸ This particularly Article 5-point f, which specifies institutional centrality is evidenced by several categories of offenses excluded from monitoring findings published by the National the scope of restorative justice, including Commission on Violence against Women terrorism, offenses against state security, (Komnas Perempuan) across nine police corruption, and offenses against life. Article 5- jurisdictions, which reveal that 97% of point f does not expressly incorporate sexual respondents (126 out of 130) had previously violence offenses as regulated under Law handled cases employing a restorative justice Number 12 of 2022 enacted subsequently to approach. This extensive application is

¹⁶ Nabila Maulidiya et al., 'Eksplorasi Pendekatan Restorative Justice sebagai Mekanisme Pengurangan Angka Residivisme: Analisis Kritis terhadap Efektivitas Teori Relatif dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana Indonesia', *Progressive Law Review* 7, no. 1 (2025): 28, <https://doi.org/10.36448/prolev.v7i1.255>.

¹⁷ Mesa Indra Naiborhu and Wagiman Wagiman, 'The Fundamental Position Of Lex Posterior Derogat Legi Priori in the Conflict of Norms Against the Rights of Holders of The Right to Justice', *Jurnal Indonesia Sosial Teknologi* 5, no. 2 (2024): 663, <https://doi.org/10.59141/jist.v5i2.906>.

¹⁸ Yu-Wei Liu, 'Assessing the Feasibility of Police Participation in Restorative Justice in Taiwan: A Restorative Policing Perspective', *International Journal of Law and Society* 9, no. 1 (2026): 96, <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijsl.20260901.18>.

accompanied by a correspondingly high procedural application of Chief of Police degree of normative awareness, as 94% of Circular Letter Number 8/VII/2018 and Police respondents (122 out of 130) acknowledged Regulation Number 8 of 2021 as primary familiarity with internal regulatory normative instruments. Such initiatives have frameworks governing restorative justice, not been accompanied by comprehensive and including Police Chief Circular Letter Number specialized training addressing the substantive 8 of 2018 and Police Regulation Number 8 of foundations of restorative justice itself. 2021.¹⁹ These findings indicate that the internal Subsequent monitoring undertaken by regulatory framework has undergone Komnas Perempuan further demonstrates that substantive institutionalization within routine 46% of the 130 respondents reported acquiring policing practices, accompanied by a relatively knowledge of restorative justice through uniform distribution of understanding across socialization activities, 27% through western, central, and eastern regions of formalized training programs, and the Indonesia. This pattern is further substantiated remainder through circular instruments or by empirical research conducted by Azis autonomous learning.²¹

Saputra, Dadang Sutrasno, and Widi Setiawan, This empirical reality reflects that which demonstrates that the level of educational and dissemination processes institutional comprehension of Police within the police institution remain Regulation Number 8 of 2021 approached predominantly procedural and structurally 100% across six Regional Police Offices oriented. In principle, the effective examined in the study.²⁰ implementation of restorative justice requires

However, empirical findings derived adequate institutional resources, specialized from interviews conducted by Komnas training, and sustained institutional support, as Perempuan reveal that institutional the approach is intended to promote a more dissemination within the police apparatus has holistic form of justice that prioritizes been predominantly confined to internal recovery, reconciliation, and the meaningful socialization sessions emphasizing the participation of all parties involved.²²

¹⁹ Komisi Nasional Anti Kekerasan Terhadap Perempuan, 'Transformasi yang tertunda: Temuan Pemantauan Berperspektif Keadilan Gender pada Praktik Keadilan Restoratif di 9 Provinsi 23 Kota/Kabupaten', accessed 17 March 2026, <https://komnasperempuan.go.id/pengembangan-pengetahuan-detail/transformasi-yang-tertunda-temuan-pemantauan-berperspektif-keadilan-gender-pada-praktik-keadilan-restoratif-di-9-provinsi-23-kota-kabupaten>.

²⁰ Azis Saputra, 'Penerapan Keadilan Restoratif Dalam Penanganan Tindak Pidana Guna Mewujudkan Kemanfaatan Dan Keadilan Masyarakat', *Jurnal Litbang Polri* 26, no. 3 (2023): 162, <https://doi.org/10.46976/litbangpolri.v26i3.225>.

²¹ Komisi Nasional Anti Kekerasan Terhadap Perempuan, 'Transformasi yang tertunda'.

²² Suud Sarim Karimullah, 'From Punishment to Healing: The Transformative Power of Restorative Justice', *ResearchGate* 29, no. 4 (2026): 681, <https://doi.org/10.47268/sasi.v29i4.1688>.

Consequently, the institutionalization of formulated in an open-ended and inherently restorative justice within the police subjective manner, as it fails to prescribe environment appears to operate at an objective indicators or ascertainable normative informational and administrative level, parameters. Consequently, the determination without yet attaining a sufficiently developed of the applicability of restorative justice is, in conceptual internalization consistent with its practice, largely vested in the professional transformative normative aspirations. discretion of investigators, grounded in the

The limited conceptual understanding concrete circumstances of each case, without among police officers regarding the explicit normative restrictions concerning substantive foundations of restorative justice categories of criminal offenses that are frequently results in misinterpretations in its substantively incompatible with resolution practical application. As revealed in interviews through restorative justice mechanisms.

conducted by Komnas Perempuan and The absence of determinate normative documented in its monitoring report, several standards thus creates the potential for the police officers continue to equate restorative extension of restorative justice to cases that, justice with Alternative Dispute Resolution from a juridical and doctrinal standpoint, ought (ADR) or diversion in juvenile cases, without to be expressly excluded, including offenses of adequately comprehending the fundamental sexual violence. This empirical reality distinctions in principles, objectives, and legal indicates that the principal problem lies not foundations that differentiate these merely at the level of implementation, but mechanisms.²³ This constrained understanding within the normative configuration of the indicates that the regulatory framework policy itself, which has yet to provide a governing restorative justice under Police coherent, systematically articulated, and Regulation Number 8 of 2021 has yet to conceptually standardized framework for the articulate clear conceptual boundaries operationalization of restorative justice.

distinguishing restorative justice from ADR Hierarchically construed, the resolution and diversion in juvenile proceedings. of sexual violence cases through restorative

Furthermore, this condition is justice manifests a form of vertical normative compounded by Article 5 of Police Regulation disharmony between Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021, which regulates the Number 8 of 2021 as a subordinate material requirements for the implementation implementing regulation and Law Number 12 of restorative justice. The provision is of 2022 as a superior statutory instrument that

²³ Komisi Nasional Anti Kekerasan Terhadap Perempuan, 'Transformasi yang tertunda'.

limits and defines the permissible scope of supervision and coordination mechanisms such implementation. This situation may be designed to ensure the consistent application of regarded as inconsistent with the principle of restorative justice principles. When *lex superior derogat legi inferiori*, the institutional practice prioritizes Police principle that higher legal norms prevail over Regulation Number 8 of 2021 without lower-level regulations.²⁴ Consequently, this sufficient attention to the exclusions expressly condition creates a doctrinal and institutional stipulated in Law Number 12 of 2022, the obligation for police authorities to consistently substance of justice particularly its victim-align their interpretative and operational oriented character risks being reduced to practices with Law Number 12 of 2022 as the considerations of administrative efficiency. higher normative authority. The juridical implications extend beyond a

Within this normative context, Police mere contravention of the principle governing Regulation Number 8 of 2021 operates not the hierarchy of laws and regulations; they also merely as a procedural directive, but as a implicate a deterioration in the substantive normative and epistemic construct that shapes conception of justice within the criminal law the institutional rationality of police officers in system. In other words, the divergence in the administration of criminal justice. The orientation between Police Regulation regulation embodies a particular paradigm of Number 8 of 2021 and Law Number 12 of dispute resolution that, if not critically 2022 reveals the existence of an underlying contextualized within the broader statutory ideological disjunction within the law hierarchy, risks displacing the restrictive enforcement system itself.

parameters expressly articulated in superior Upon careful consideration of the statutes. In the absence of a comprehensive and various instances of erroneous legal hierarchically grounded understanding of the application, it may be inferred that the explicit limitations governing the application disharmony between Police Regulation of restorative justice, cases of sexual violence Number 8 of 2021 and Law Number 12 of may continue to be resolved through 2022 does not merely signify a formal defect restorative mechanisms even after the in the hierarchy or technique of legislative enactment of Law Number 12 of 2022. drafting. More profoundly, it reflects a

Furthermore, this phenomenon indicates structural deficiency in incorporating a victim-structural weaknesses in inter-institutional oriented paradigm within the institutional

²⁴ Sri Warjiyati et al., 'Benturan Norma dalam Implementasi Asas Lex Superior Derogate Legi Inferiori pada Surat Edaran dan Undang-Undang', *Reformasi Hukum* 28, no. 3 (2024): 239, <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.46257/jrh.v28i3.732>.

framework of Indonesia's criminal justice system. Although, at the normative level, Indonesia has demonstrated a commitment toward a criminal justice system oriented the implementation of restorative justice toward substantive justice. Whereas Police through various institutional regulations, the Regulation Number 8 of 2021 emphasizes the substantive regulatory framework remains extrajudicial resolution of criminal cases as a sectoral in character and has yet to be mechanism of procedural efficiency through integrated into a comprehensive and coherent restorative justice, Law Number 12 of 2022 conceptual structure. prioritizes the protection of victims and the

Based on the preceding normative restoration of their rights by explicitly analysis, the disharmony between procedural precluding the application of restorative justice and substantive regulations generates not only mechanisms in sexual violence offenses. This normative inconsistencies but also direct divergence in normative orientation highlights implications for the realization of substantive the incomplete policy alignment among law justice for victims of sexual violence. This enforcement institutions, particularly in the condition indicates that restorative justice has integration of newly established legal not yet functioned as a juridical approach standards enshrined in Law Number 12 of genuinely oriented toward victim recovery and 2022.

the restoration of social relations. Accordingly, Normatively, the weakness of policy restorative justice cannot be indiscriminately alignment between sectoral police regulations applied to all categories of criminal offenses and national criminal policy following the without considering moral dimensions, social enactment of Law Number 12 of 2022 is contexts, and, most importantly, the rights and evidenced by Police Regulation Number 8 of interests of victims. Therefore, comprehensive 2021, which permits the application of legal harmonization and clear juridical restorative justice across various criminal boundaries are necessary to prevent its offenses without establishing explicit application from deviating from the objectives limitations concerning sexual violence. The of justice it seeks to uphold. absence of definitive normative parameters

The Implications of Restorative Justice generates legal uncertainty and allows for Application to Sexual Violence Offenses interpretive discretion at the level of within the Framework of Substantive investigator level, which, in practice, may Justice for Victims result in the employment of non-litigation

The disharmony between Police resolution mechanisms in cases that ought to Regulation Number 8 of 2021 and Law be adjudicated through formal judicial

procedures. This situation reflects a deficiency (approximately 57%) expressed dissatisfaction in normative coordination among law with the procedures administered by law enforcement policies, as the practices enforcement authorities.²⁶ This dissatisfaction implemented are in direct contravention of was primarily attributed to several critical Article 23 of Law Number 12 of 2022, which factors: (1) the process did not provide victims explicitly prohibits extrajudicial reconciliation with meaningful participatory opportunities, in sexual violence cases. The misalignment of despite the restorative justice principle implementation guidelines expands recognizing victims' right to participate (to be institutional discretion among law included and to have a voice); (2) victims enforcement officers in the absence of a experienced marginalization and a sense of uniform and binding standard of victim being cornered; (3) perpetrators often protection, thereby rendering the application of dominated the determination of settlement restorative justice susceptible to deviation outcomes, which were deemed insufficient to from the principles of victim-centred justice generate a deterrent effect;²⁷ and (4) the and increasing the risk of revictimization, absence of adequate psychological and legal which ultimately reflects a deviation from the support, thereby undermining the victims' fundamental concept of victim protection as a recovery and rehabilitation.²⁸

mechanism intended to ensure legal guarantees From a socio-cultural perspective, in- and compensation for those who have suffered depth interviews conducted by National harm as victims of crime.²⁵ Commission on Violence Against Women

Monitoring findings published in 2023 further revealed that female victims frequently by Komnas Perempuan indicate that the experience structural pressures to accept resolution of sexual violence cases through reconciliation processes in order to preserve restorative justice frequently falls short of family honour or avoid social stigma, thereby upholding the principles of victim-centred limiting meaningful participatory justice. Among the 84 victims interviewed by opportunities for the victims. In several cases Komnas Perempuan across three primary within rural areas, restorative justice monitoring regions, 48 respondents proceedings were conducted without the direct

²⁵ M. Eza Helyatha Begouvic et al., 'Penyelesaian Perkara Tindak Pidana Persetubuhan Terhadap Anak Yang Dilakukan Anak Menggunakan Pendekatan Berdasarkan Keadilan Restoratif', *SOL JUSTICIA* 7, no. 2 (2024): 8, <https://doi.org/10.54816/sj.v7i2.937>.

²⁶ Komisi Nasional Anti Kekerasan Terhadap Perempuan, 'Transformasi yang tertunda'.

²⁷ Mochamad Sukedi and I. Nengah Nuarta, 'Keadilan Restoratif Sebagai Upaya Penyelesaian Tindak Pidana Dalam Sistem Hukum Di Indonesia', *Jurnal Preferensi Hukum* 5, no. 2 (2024): 225.

²⁸ Thomas Procter-Legg et al., 'Restorative Justice and Social Justice: An International Perspective', *Contemporary Justice Review* 27, nos 2-3 (2024): 221, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10282580.2024.2414953>.

presence of the victims. The process was victims (approximately 66%) reported no sometimes delegated solely to community meaningful recovery following the process. leaders or male family members, effectively The recovery offered was often limited to excluding victims from decision-making.²⁹ formal or administrative measures, such as

Furthermore, Komnas Perempuan written apologies, financial compensation, or observed that victims subjected to restorative the signing of settlement agreements, rather justice mechanisms were exposed to an than constituting genuine, substantive elevated risk of revictimization relative to recovery.³⁰ Such applications of restorative those whose cases were processed through justice that disregard the comprehensive nature formal judicial proceedings. Individuals of recovery are inconsistent with Article 70 participating in restorative justice reported Section (1) point a of Law Number 12 of 2022, sustained psychological distress, manifesting which prescribes five interrelated and as guilt, anxiety, and social marginalization. In progressive forms of victim recovery: medical contrast, victims whose cases advanced rehabilitation, mental and social rehabilitation, through the formal court system demonstrated social empowerment, restitution and/or comparatively greater levels of psychological compensation, and social reintegration.³¹ acceptance and recovery, which can be These recovery measures are not merely attributed to the provision of legal procedural services but are explicitly oriented representation and formal recognition of their toward the holistic and long-term restoration of suffering. Collectively, these findings the vulnerabilities experienced by sexual reinforce the proposition that the violence victims.

implementation of restorative justice absent a This approach aligns with broader clearly delineated legal framework grounded victim-protection mandates outlined in Article in victim-protection principles may amplify 5 Section (1) of Law Number 31 of 2014, social harm and compromise victims' which guarantees witnesses and victims the confidence in the criminal justice system. right to personal security protection,

In practice, the Komnas Perempuan participation in determining protection documented that, among 68 cases resolved measures, and the ability to provide testimony through restorative justice mechanisms, 45

²⁹ Komisi Nasional Anti Kekerasan Terhadap Perempuan, 'Transformasi yang tertunda'.

³⁰ Komisi Nasional Anti Kekerasan Terhadap Perempuan, 'Transformasi yang tertunda'.

³¹ Hasanudin Muhammad, 'Implikasi Yuridis Pengaturan Hak Korban Tindak Pidana Kekerasan Seksual Dalam Undang-Undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2022 Tentang Tindak Pidana Kekerasan Seksual', *ResearchGate (Jurnal Surya Kencana Dua Dinamika Masalah Hukum dan Keadilan)* 9, no. 1 (n.d.): 6–10, <https://doi.org/10.32493/SKD.v9i1.y2022.22495>.

free from coercion.³² In addition, Article 6 not possess the instruments, institutional Section (1) of Law Number 31 of 2014 structures, or state obligations necessary to stipulates that sexual violence victims are fulfil the recovery framework prescribed in entitled to medical assistance and psychosocial Article 70 Section (1) of Law Number 12 of and psychological rehabilitation as a non- 2022.

negotiable obligation of the state. Taken Law Number 12 of 2022, which together, these regulations demonstrate that positions victim recovery as the central focus recovery for sexual violence victims cannot be in addressing sexual violence cases, aligns reduced to mere “settlement agreements” or closely with international standards outlined in administrative assurances, which often the Declaration of Basic Principles of Justice constitute the practical implementation of **for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power. restorative justice.** Recovery under Law Paragraph 1 of the Declaration defines victims Number 12 of 2022 requires professionally as persons who have suffered physical, guided procedures involving medical psychological, emotional, economic, or personnel, psychologists, trauma counsellors, fundamental rights violations resulting from a social workers, and formal legal mechanisms criminal act. The Declaration emphasizes that that guarantee safety, confidentiality, and victims must be treated with compassion and long-term restoration. Such recovery standards respect for their inherent dignity (Paragraph 4) exceed the capacity of restorative justice and are entitled to prompt and effective access mechanisms as currently practiced, which to justice and reparation mechanisms.³⁴ A typically rely on informal negotiations, substantive conception of victim-centred mediation, or facilitation by law enforcement justice requires that case resolution genuinely institutions lacking the mandate or competence addresses moral harm, rectifies power to provide recovery as a form of substantive imbalances, and restores the dignity of those justice for victims under Law Number 12 of harmed.³⁵ Moreover, substantive justice 2022.³³ Accordingly, from a normative mandates that sexual violence cases be perspective, restorative justice mechanisms do resolved in a manner that fosters a safe

³² Kadimuddin Baehaki and Trisno R. Hadis, ‘Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Saksi Dan Korban Dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana Indonesia: Legal Protection of Witnesses and Victims in Indonesia’s Criminal Justice System’, *Jurnal Media Hukum* 11, no. 1 (2023): 57, <https://doi.org/10.59414/jmh.v1i1.451>.

³³ Dian Ekawaty Ismail et al., ‘The Concept of Revitalizing Traditional Institutions in the Criminal Law System to Realize Restorative Justice’, *Jambura Law Review* 5, no. 2 (2023): 227, <https://doi.org/10.33756/jlr.v5i2.11682>.

³⁴ ‘Declaration of Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power’, OHCHR, accessed 17 March 2026, <https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/declaration-basic-principles-justice-victims-crime-and-abuse>.

³⁵ Malik Akbar Mulki Rahman et al., ‘How Criminology and Victimology Answer the Problem of Rape Victim Protection?’, *Law Research Review Quarterly* 9, no. 2 (2023): 162, <https://doi.org/10.15294/lrrq.v9i2.65934>.

environment, thereby mitigating long-term psychosocial rehabilitation, and the psychological impacts, trauma, and social availability of professional support services pressures experienced by victims.³⁶ that uphold their dignity while preventing

The imperative of victim recovery is further harm or secondary victimization during inherently multifaceted, given that victims judicial proceedings. This necessity is frequently endure severe psychological reflected in the victim's efforts to cope with trauma, compromised personal security, and harassment by seeking support from trusted layered forms of suffering encompassing both individuals and engaging in professional physical and mental domains. Individuals therapy.³⁸

subjected to sexual violence often experience This analysis demonstrates that prolonged psychological distress, structural substantive justice entails standards far beyond power asymmetries, and intense social simple administrative settlements or pressures, thereby necessitating a secure and conciliatory agreements. Restorative justice stable environment entirely segregated from mechanisms based on dialogue, voluntary the perpetrator.³⁷ In the absence of such victim participation, perpetrator protective conditions, victims are impeded accountability, and negotiated agreement are from initiating the essential psychological inherently unable to fulfil the structured, processes of detachment from traumatic professional, and sustainable recovery experiences and reconstructing their identity obligations mandated by Law Number 12 of and resilience. Within this framework, the 2022.³⁹⁴⁰ Consequently, applying restorative concept of substantive justice for victims— justice in cases of sexual violence increases the particularly survivors of sexual violence— risk of retraumatization, reinforces existing assumes critical significance. Effective case power imbalances, and shifts the focus of case resolution should guarantee victims resolution from victim-centred recovery to the comprehensive protection from social administrative priorities of law enforcement pressure, access to holistic physical and

³⁶ Rahman et al., 'How Criminology and Victimology Answer the Problem of Rape Victim Protection?', 177.

³⁷ Rahman et al., 'How Criminology and Victimology Answer the Problem of Rape Victim Protection?', 176–77.

³⁸ Syed Arshad Ali Rizvi et al., 'Sexual Harassment and Coping Strategies: Legal Responses and Victim Protection in Known and Unknown Perpetrator Cases', *Annals of Human and Social Sciences* 5, no. 4 (2024): 572, [https://doi.org/10.35484/ahss.2024\(5-IV\)54](https://doi.org/10.35484/ahss.2024(5-IV)54).

³⁹ Lucia Sandoval and Carlos Velasquez, 'Restorative Justice in Criminal Law: Assessing Its Role in Reducing Recidivism Rates', *International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice* 1, no. 1 (2024): 34, <https://doi.org/10.62951/ijlcj.v1i1.250>.

⁴⁰ Yoke Elizabeth Theresia and I. Gusti Ayu Stefani Ratna Maharani, 'Analisis Yuridis Penerapan Restorative Justice di Indonesia Sebagai Upaya Pemenuhan Hak Korban Kejahatan', *Jurnal Media Akademik (JMA)* 3, no. 10 (2025): 11, <https://doi.org/10.62281/sd6t9r40>.

institutions.⁴¹ These limitations indicate that Nevertheless, the existence of a restorative justice, as currently practiced, comprehensive legal framework under Law cannot effectively achieve substantive justice Number 20 of 2025 does not automatically for sexual violence victims within the prevent deviations in law enforcement Indonesian legal framework. practice. The implementation of Article 23 of

The State must ensure that restorative Law Number 12 of 2022 indicates that explicit justice does not function merely as a statutory prohibitions on restorative justice in procedural shortcut but remains anchored in sexual violence cases have not entirely victim recovery and respect for human dignity prevented non-litigation settlements at the as the core of substantive justice.⁴² operational level. This suggests that the Accordingly, stronger conceptual integration primary challenge lies not only in normative and clear operational limits are necessary to regulation but also in weak oversight, preserve its normative effectiveness and inconsistent application of legal norms, and the credibility within Indonesia's criminal justice limited internalization of victim-protection system.⁴³ The enactment of the revised principles within Indonesia's criminal justice Indonesian Criminal Procedure Code (Law system. Therefore, the restorative justice Number 20 of 2025) on 18 November 2025, provisions in Law Number 20 of 2025 should effective from 2 January 2026, represents an not be regarded as a definitive solution to effort to clarify these boundaries. While criminal procedure reform, but rather as a Article 79 Law Number 20 of 2025 codifies foundational normative framework requiring restorative justice as a victim-oriented continuous oversight, consistent recovery mechanism, Article 82-point d Law implementation, and stronger internalization Number 20 of 2025 explicitly excludes its of victim-protection values.

application to certain crimes, including sexual **CONCLUSION**

violence. This exclusion reflects the State's This study concludes that the application of restorative justice in cases of sexual commitment to clear normative limits for violence reveals a significant normative restorative justice. problem, manifested as a disharmony between

⁴¹ Inbal Peleg-Koriat and Carmit Klar-Chalamish, 'Sexual Offense Victims' Responses to the Question #WhyIDidntReport? Examining Restorative Justice as an Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanism', *Conflict Resolution Quarterly* 40, no. 3 (2023): 306, <https://doi.org/10.1002/crq.21369>.

⁴² Sulbadana Sulbadana et al., 'Does International Law Acknowledge Restorative Justice?', *Sriwijaya Law Review*, 31 January 2023, 126, <https://doi.org/10.28946/slrev.Vol7.Iss1.2130>.pp121-134.

⁴³ Wevy Efticha Sary and Fakhriyah Annisa Afroo, 'Problematika Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Anak Korban Incest: Tantangan Dan Harapan', *Jurnal Ilmiah Kutei* 24, no. 1 (2025): 84-85, <https://doi.org/10.33369/jik.v24i1.43310>.

Article 5-point f of Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021 and Article 23 of Law Number 12 of 2022. An analysis of these regulations indicates that Police Regulation Number 8 of 2021 permits the relatively broad implementation of restorative justice without explicitly excluding sexual violence offenses, in contrast to Law Number 12 of 2022, which unequivocally prohibits case resolution through non-litigation mechanisms. Such normative disharmony directly undermines the realization of substantive justice for sexual violence victims, as the misapplication of restorative justice may compromise their rights to protection, proper handling, and comprehensive recovery under Article 70

Section (1) of Law Number 12 of 2022.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Haliza Layla Tsabita: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Analysis, Writing Original Draft. **Apriliani Kusumawati:** Conceptualization, Supervision, Data Verification.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Alhakim, Abdurrahman, Teguh Prasetyo, and Henry Soelistyo Budi. 'Revitalizing Justice: Empowering Juvenile Sexual Offenders through a Restorative Approach in Indonesia'. *Journal of Judicial Review* 25, no. 1 (2023): 17–32.
<https://doi.org/10.37253/jjr.v25i1.7537>.
 Aslamiyah, Nurul. 'Penerapan Restorative Justice Terhadap Kasus Kekerasan Seksual Di Desa'. *El-Dusturie* 4, no. 1 (2025): 81–95.

<https://doi.org/10.21154/eldusturie.v4i1.11038>.

Baehaki, Kadimuddin, and Trisno R. Hadis. 'Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Saksi Dan Korban Dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana Indonesia: Legal Protection of Witnesses and Victims in Indonesia's Criminal Justice System'. *Jurnal Media Hukum* 11, no. 1 (2023): 52–63.
<https://doi.org/10.59414/jmh.v11i1.451>.

Begouvic, M. Eza Helyatha, Rizki Ramadhani, Jemmi Angga Saputra, and M. Reza Yupely. 'Penyelesaian Perkara Tindak Pidana Persetubuhan Terhadap Anak Yang Dilakukan Anak Menggunakan Pendekatan Berdasarkan Keadilan Restoratif'. *SOL JUSTICIA* 7, no. 2 (2024): 1–11.
<https://doi.org/10.54816/sj.v7i2.937>.

Dewantara, Yuni Putri, and Ika Fransisca. 'Restorative Justice Dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Pelecehan Seksual Cyber'. *Jurnal Hukum Mimbar Justitia* 10, no. 2 (2024): 223–51.
<https://doi.org/10.35194/jhmj.v10i2.4679>.

Dewi, Geney Srikusuma. 'Penetapan Pengadilan Sebagai Muara Dalam Penyelesaian Perkara Pidana Di Luar Pengadilan Yang Menggunakan Pendekatan Restorative Justice'. *Jurnal Yustitia* 17, no. 01 (2023): 56–66.
<https://doi.org/10.62279/yustitia.v17i01.1047>.

Dewi, Widya Ari, Satya Arinanto, and Muhammad Ilham Hermawan. 'Legal Protection for Victims in The Resolution of Criminal Cases Through Restorative Justice Mechanisms'. *Jurnal Daulat Hukum* 8, no. 4 (2026): 1070–86.
<https://doi.org/10.30659/jdh.v8i4.50835>.

Eglash, Albert. 'Creative Restitution. A Broader Meaning for an Old Term'. *The Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology, and Police Science* 48,

- no. 6 (1958): 619–22.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1140258>.
- Ismail, Dian Ekawaty, Avelia Rahmah Y. Mantali, and Mohamad Rivaldi Moha. 'The Concept of Revitalizing Traditional Institutions in the Criminal Law System to Realize Restorative Justice'. *Jambura Law Review* 5, no. 2 (2023): 220–34.
<https://doi.org/10.33756/jlr.v5i2.11682>.
- Karimullah, Suud Sarim. 'From Punishment to Healing: The Transformative Power of Restorative Justice'. *ResearchGate* 29, no. 4 (2026): 678–90.
<https://doi.org/10.47268/sasi.v29i4.1688>.
- Komisi Nasional Anti Kekerasan Terhadap Perempuan. 'Transformasi yang tertunda: Temuan Pemantauan Berperspektif Keadilan Gender pada Praktik Keadilan Restoratif di 9 Provinsi 23 Kota/Kabupaten'. Accessed 17 March 2026.
<https://komnasperempuan.go.id/penge-mbangan-pengetahuan-detail/transformasi-yang-tertunda-temuan-pemantauan-berperspektif-keadilan-gender-pada-praktik-keadilan-restoratif-di-9-provinsi-23-kota-kabupaten>.
- Liu, Yu-Wei. 'Assessing the Feasibility of Police Participation in Restorative Justice in Taiwan: A Restorative Policing Perspective'. *International Journal of Law and Society* 9, no. 1 (2026): 83–98.
<https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijls.20260901.18>.
- Maharian, Safira Gustika. 'Restorative Justice in the Reform of the Indonesian Criminal System (Case Study of Violence Against Children in the Selebar Police Sector Area, Bengkulu City)'. *Journal of Law, Politic and Humanities* 4, no. 5 (2024): 1865–71.
<https://doi.org/10.38035/jlph.v4i5.504>.
- Maulidiya, Nabila, Indi Ulya Kamalin, and Jelita Yupitasari. 'Eksplorasi Pendekatan Restorative Justice sebagai Mekanisme Pengurangan Angka Residivisme: Analisis Kritis terhadap Efektivitas Teori Relatif dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana Indonesia'. *Progressive Law Review* 7, no. 1 (2025): 24–33.
<https://doi.org/10.36448/prolev.v7i1.255>.
- Muhammad, Hasanudin. 'Implikasi Yuridis Pengaturan Hak Korban Tindak Pidana Kekerasan Seksual Dalam Undang-Undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2022 Tentang Tindak Pidana Kekerasan Seksual'. *ResearchGate* (Jurnal Surya Kencana Dua Dinamika Masalah Hukum dan Keadilan) 9, no. 1 (n.d.): 1–15.
<https://doi.org/10.32493/SKD.v9i1.y2022.22495>.
- Naiborhu, Mesa Indra, and Wagiman Wagiman. 'The Fundamental Position Of Lex Posterior Derogat Legi Priori in the Conflict of Norms Against the Rights of Holders of The Right to Justice'. *Jurnal Indonesia Sosial Teknologi* 5, no. 2 (2024): 659–71.
<https://doi.org/10.59141/jist.v5i2.906>.
- Novitasari, Silvia, Yayan Sopyan, and Mara Sutan Rambe. 'Pembaharuan Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Perempuan Korban Kekerasan Seksual Dalam Undang – Undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2022'. *Mavisha: Law and Society Journal*, November 2024, 30–44.
<https://doi.org/10.15408/7h9p1y62>.
- OHCHR. 'Declaration of Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power'. Accessed 17 March 2026.
<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/declaration-basic-principles-justice-victims-crime-and-abuse>.
- Peleg-Koriat, Inbal. 'Ethnic Differences in Support for Restorative Justice in Sexual Abuse Cases: The Role of Offense Severity and Malleability Beliefs'. *Violence Against Women*, 9 June 2025, 1–23.

- <https://doi.org/10.1177/10778012251347588>.
- Peleg-Koriat, Inbal, and Carmit Klar-Chalamish. 'Sexual Offense Victims' Responses to the Question #WhyIDidntReport? Examining Restorative Justice as an Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanism'. *Conflict Resolution Quarterly* 40, no. 3 (2023): 295–312. <https://doi.org/10.1002/crq.21369>.
- Procter-Legg, Thomas, Jonathan Hobson, and Ernest Quimby. 'Restorative Justice and Social Justice: An International Perspective'. *Contemporary Justice Review* 27, nos 2–3 (2024): 218–38. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10282580.2024.2414953>.
- Rahayu, Ni Kadek Putri Sita, and Gunardi Lie. 'Tinjauan Filosofis Dan Yuridis Terhadap Keadilan Restoratif Dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana Anak'. *Jurnal Yustitia* 21, no. 2 (2025): 31–48. <https://doi.org/10.62279/yustitia.v21i2.1675>.
- Rahman, Malik Akbar Mulki, Nafiis Daffa Firdaus, and Mario Sulistiyo Wijatmoko. 'How Criminology and Victimology Answer the Problem of Rape Victim Protection?' *Law Research Review Quarterly* 9, no. 2 (2023): 153–82. <https://doi.org/10.15294/lrrq.v9i2.65934>.
- Rizvi, Syed Arshad Ali, Izza Mahfooz, and Syeda Sitara Murtaza. 'Sexual Harassment and Coping Strategies: Legal Responses and Victim Protection in Known and Unknown Perpetrator Cases'. *Annals of Human and Social Sciences* 5, no. 4 (2024): 569–83. [https://doi.org/10.35484/ahss.2024\(5-IV\)54](https://doi.org/10.35484/ahss.2024(5-IV)54).
- Rochaeti, Nur, Mujiono Hafidh Prasetyo, Umi Rozah, and Jihyun Park. 'A Restorative Justice System in Indonesia: A Close View from the Indigenous Peoples' Practices'. *Sriwijaya Law Review*, 27 January 2023, 87–104. <https://doi.org/10.28946/slrev.Vol7.Iss1.1919.pp87-104>.
- Sandoval, Lucia, and Carlos Velasquez. 'Restorative Justice in Criminal Law: Assessing Its Role in Reducing Recidivism Rates'. *International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice* 1, no. 1 (2024): 34–38. <https://doi.org/10.62951/ijlcj.v1i1.250>.
- Saputra, Azis. 'Penerapan Keadilan Restoratif Dalam Penanganan Tindak Pidana Guna Mewujudkan Kemanfaatan Dan Keadilan Masyarakat'. *Jurnal Litbang Polri* 26, no. 3 (2023): 155–66. <https://doi.org/10.46976/litbangpolri.v26i3.225>.
- Sary, Wevy Efticha, and Fakhriyah Annisa Afroo. 'Problematisasi Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Anak Korban Incest: Tantangan Dan Harapan'. *Jurnal Ilmiah Kutei* 24, no. 1 (2025): 80–98. <https://doi.org/10.33369/jik.v24i1.43310>.
- Sukedi, Mochamad, and I. Nengah Nuarta. 'Keadilan Restoratif Sebagai Upaya Penyelesaian Tindak Pidana Dalam Sistem Hukum Di Indonesia'. *Jurnal Preferensi Hukum* 5, no. 2 (2024): 222–30.
- Sukma, Angki. *Penerapan Keadilan Restoratif Pada Tindak Pidana yang Tidak Memenuhi Syarat Diversi di Indonesia*. 1, no. 8 (2024): 61–67. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.10845080>.
- Sulbadana, Sulbadana, Haniyatul Husna binti Md Mohtar, Andi Intan Purnamasari, and Supriyadi Supriyadi. 'Does International Law Acknowledge Restorative Justice?' *Sriwijaya Law Review*, 31 January 2023, 121–34. <https://doi.org/10.28946/slrev.Vol7.Iss1.2130.pp121-134>.
- Theresia, Yoke Elizabeth, and I. Gusti Ayu Stefani Ratna Maharani. 'Analisis Yuridis Penerapan Restorative Justice di Indonesia Sebagai Upaya Pemenuhan Hak Korban Kejahatan'. *Jurnal Media Akademik (JMA)* 3, no.

10 (2025): 1–16.
<https://doi.org/10.62281/sd6t9r40>.

Valentino, Cecilia Rudolf. 'Restorative Justice as an Alternative in the Indonesian Criminal Justice System'. *Journal of Progressive Law and Legal Studies* 3, no. 03 (2025): 348–61. <https://doi.org/10.59653/jplls.v3i03.1864>.

Warjiyati, Sri, Zeti Nofita Sari, Safrin Salam, and Arif Firdaus Ananda. 'Benturan Norma dalam Implementasi Asas Lex Superior Derogate Legi Inferiori pada Surat Edaran dan Undang-Undang'.

Reformasi Hukum 28, no. 3 (2024): 234–50.

<https://doi.org/doi.org/10.46257/jrh.v28i3.732>.

Widiatmika, Dewa Putu Hendra. 'Penerapan Perpol Nomor 8 Tahun 2021 Tentang Penanganan Tindak Pidana Berdasarkan Keadilan Restoratif Di Direktorat Reserse Kriminal Umum Polda Bali'. *IJOLARES: Indonesian Journal of Law Research* 1, no. 1 (2023): 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.60153/ijolares.v1i1.1>.